arXiv:1202.3475v1 [math.NT] 15 Feb 2012

Ray class fields of conductor $p\mathcal{O}_K$

María Elena Stadnik [†]
Northwestern University

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Description of problem **2**
2.1 An equivalent condition on the map ψ_p 2
2.2 Restrictions on the field K 3

3 Reformulation of the problem **4**
3.1 Transition from ψ to ϕ_l for primes $l|p-1$ 4
3.2 Transition from ϕ_l to Frobenius elements 6

4 Conjectural density **9**
4.1 Example: Conjectural density in biquadratic fields 10

5 Multiquadratic fields **11**
5.1 Determination of the map ψ at $l=2$ 11
5.2 An equivalent condition on Frobenius elements for $l \neq 2$ 13

6 Application of analytic number theory **14**
6.1 Classical argument 14
6.2 Completion of proof using the generalized Riemann hypothesis 17

7 Determination of multiquadratic fields with units of norm -1 **23**
7.1 Quadratic and biquadratic fields 24
7.2 Triquadratic fields 25
7.3 Higher degree multiquadratic fields 26
7.4 Conjectures for multiquadratic fields of class number 1 27

8 Acknowledgments **28**

Abstract

We prove that (under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis) a totally real multiquadratic number field K has a positive density of primes p in $\mathbb{Z}$ for which the ray class field of conductor $p\mathcal{O}_K$ has an explicit description as the Hilbert class field H of K adjoin the real number $\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}$ if and only if K contains a unit of norm -1.

[†] Author partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0701048 and DMS-0846285

1 Introduction

In 1927, Emil Artin [1] conjectured that for any integer a not equal to ± 1 or a square, there is a positive density of primes p for which a is a primitive root in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. In 1967, Hooley [4] proved that this density exists and is positive if the generalized Riemann hypothesis is true. Since then, many authors have adapted the analytic methods of Hooley to various settings, including Cooke and Weinberger [2], Weinberger [17], Lenstra [10], Murty [12], and Roskam [13], [14]. In this paper, we adapt the techniques of Hooley to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.13 *Let K be a totally real multiquadratic field, let H be the Hilbert class field of K, and let ζ_p denote a primitive p^{th} root of unity. The generalized Riemann hypothesis implies that there is a positive density of primes of K for which the ray class field L_p of conductor $p\mathcal{O}_K$ equals $H(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ if and only if K contains a unit of norm -1.*

As an example, consider the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13})$, which satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem and has Hilbert class field $H = K$. Then the conjectured density of primes for which the ray class field of conductor $p\mathcal{O}_K$ is equal to $K(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ is computed in this paper to be

$$\frac{1}{8}\prod_{l\neq 2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{[K(\zeta_l):K]}\left(1 - \frac{(l-1)^3}{l^3}\right)\right) \approx 0.0514218\ldots$$

Using a computer, we found this density to be very close to the actual density among the first two hundred thousand primes, which we computed to be

$$0.05176.$$

We also consider the related problem of determining which multiquadratic fields contain a unit of norm -1. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7.9 *If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{d_m})$ is a multiquadratic field of degree $n = 2^m$, $m \geq 3$ and the class number of K is odd, then K contains no unit of norm -1. In particular, for such K, $L_p \neq K(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ for any p.*

In Section 2 we describe the problem and deduce necessary conditions on the multiquadratic field K. In Section 3 we reformulate the question into a question about a set of Frobenius elements in field extensions K_l/K as l ranges over all prime numbers. In Section 4 we compute the conjectural density and prove it is non-vanishing for multiquadratic fields containing a unit of norm -1. In Section 5 we turn our attention to multiquadratic fields and further reformulate the question. In Section 6 we adapt the techniques of Hooley to prove the theorem for multiquadratic fields. In Section 7 we determine which multiquadratic fields fulfill the necessary conditions we deduced in Section 2.

2 Description of problem

2.1 An equivalent condition on the map ψ_p

Let K be a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree n, H the Hilbert class field of K, and C_K the class group of K, so $C_K \cong \text{Gal}(H/K)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote the ring of algebraic integers of K, and let $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ denote the group of units of $\mathcal{O}_K$, which we usually refer to as *units of K*. For a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which splits completely in K, consider the ray class field L_p of K of conductor $(p) = p\mathcal{O}_K$. The corresponding ray class group $RC(p) \cong \text{Gal}(L_p/K)$ of L_p/K fits into the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\psi_p} (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \longrightarrow RC(p) \longrightarrow C_K \longrightarrow 1.$$

When the prime p is understood, the subscript p will be suppressed. From exactness, the size of the group $RC(p)$ is $|RC(p)| = |C_K|\left[(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times : \psi_p(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)\right]$. Let ζ_p denote a primitive p^{th} root of unity and let $\zeta_p^+ = \zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$; notice $H(\zeta_p^+)$ is an Abelian extension of K which is ramified only at primes dividing $p\mathcal{O}_K$, and so $H(\zeta_p^+) \subseteq L_p$.

Proposition 2.1. *For a prime p completely split in K, $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if the image of ψ_p has order $2(p-1)^{n-1}$.*

Proof. Since p splits completely in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, $(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ by the Chinese remainder theorem. Thus $|RC(p)| = |C_K|\dfrac{(p-1)^n}{|\psi_p(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)|}$ and $|Im(\psi_p)| = 2(p-1)^{n-1}$ if and only if $|RC(p)| = |C_K|\dfrac{(p-1)^n}{|\psi_p(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)|} = |C_K|\dfrac{p-1}{2}$, or equivalently $[L_p : K] = \left[H(\zeta_p^+) : K\right]$. Since $H(\zeta_p^+) \subseteq L_p$, this happens if and only if $H(\zeta_p^+) = L_p$. □

2.2 Restrictions on the field K

Not every Galois number field K will have ray class field $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many primes p. We first investigate which number fields K we should consider by deducing necessary conditions on K for such an infinite family of primes to exist. The next proposition proves that K must be a totally real field.

Proposition 2.2. *If K is a number field such that $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many primes p split in K, then K is a totally real number field.*

Proof. Suppose K has signature (r, s) and $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many primes p split in K. Let t be the rank of $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$, so that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}^t \oplus (\mathcal{O}_K^\times)_{\text{tors}}$, and let $m = |(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)_{\text{tors}}| < \infty$. $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ has rank $t = r + s - 1$ by Dirichlet's unit theorem. By assumption we may consider a prime $p > m + 1$ completely split in K for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$. Since ψ_p is a map of $\mathbb{Z}-$modules, the maximal size of the image of ψ_p is $m(p-1)^t$, so $m(p-1)^t = 2(p-1)^{n-1}$. Since $m < p - 1$, equality holds if and only if $t = n - 1$. Hence if the map ψ_p has order $2(p-1)^{n-1}$, $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ must have rank $t = n - 1$. □

We also have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *If K is a number field for which there is a prime p in $\mathbb{Z}$ with $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$, then K contains a unit ϵ of norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K \epsilon = -1$.*

Proof. From class field theory, the above sequence fits into the top row of the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K: & (\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \arrow[r, "\psi_p"] \arrow[d, "N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K"'] & (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "N"] & RC(p) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{N}"] & C_K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Q}: & \mathbb{Z}^\times \arrow[r, "i"] & (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times/\pm 1 \arrow[r] & 1 \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the second row is the exact sequence for the ray class group of $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor p. The first vertical arrow is the norm map on elements from K to $\mathbb{Q}$, and the third vertical map $\mathcal{N}$ is the norm map on ideal classes from K to $\mathbb{Q}$. The second norm map N is the product map given by $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \longmapsto a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. This map is clearly surjective, and so $|\ker(N)| = (p-1)^{n-1}$.

Suppose that every unit ϵ of K had norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\epsilon) = +1$. Since the first box of the above diagram commutes, $i \circ N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = +1 = N \circ \psi_p(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$, and so $\psi_p(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) \subseteq \ker(N)$. $|\ker(N)| = (p-1)^{n-1}$, so the size of the image of ψ_p can be at most $(p-1)^{n-1} < 2(p-1)^{n-1}$. Thus the image of ψ_p cannot have order $2(p-1)^{n-1}$ and so $L_p \neq H(\zeta_p^+)$. □

3 Reformulation of the problem

Assume $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$ is a totally real Galois number field containing a unit of norm -1. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and $n = |G| = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. We also assume that the field K satisfies the following condition:

(G1) If $l|n$, then there is a unit $\epsilon_l \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that $\gcd\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot \epsilon_l\right], l\right) = 1.$

Throughout this section, fix a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which splits completely in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, and let $\{\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, ..., \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ be the set of prime ideals of K lying over p.

3.1 Transition from ψ to ϕ_l for primes $l|p-1$

The prime p is completely split in K and G acts transitively and faithfully on the set $\{\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ of prime ideals of K lying over p, so for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is a unique $g = g_i \in G$ such that $g_i(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}_i$. Define ϕ to be the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}_p^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G],$$

$$u \mapsto (a^{t_1}, \ldots, a^{t_n}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i[g_i] =: \phi(u)$$

where a is a choice of a generator for $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Consider the kernel of the composition $(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{F}_p^\times \to \mathbb{F}_p^\times/\{\pm 1\}$. Since every element of $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ has norm ± 1, we see that the image of ψ lies in this kernel. The kernel is isomorphic as a $G-$module to the additive module $I = \ker(\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}/(\frac{p-1}{2})\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus the image of ϕ lies in I. Notice $|I| = 2(p-1)^{n-1}$ and $|Im(\psi)| = |Im(\phi)|$.

Proposition 3.1. *The image of the map ψ has order $2(p-1)^{n-1}$ if and only if for all l prime there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_l[G]-$ modules $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^l \xrightarrow{\sim} I/lI$.*

Proof. Suppose the image of ψ has order $2(p-1)^{n-1}$. Since $2(p-1)^{n-1} = |I|$, $\phi : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \to I$ is an epimorphism on to I. For any $l|p-1$, tensoring this map with $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ gives an epimorphism of $\mathbb{F}_l[G]-$modules $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^l \twoheadrightarrow I/lI$. Both $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^l$ and I/lI are $\mathbb{F}_l[G]-$modules of rank $n-1$ if $l \neq 2$ or $\mathbb{F}_2[G]-$modules of rank n if $l = 2$, so this map must be an isomorphism. If $l \nmid p-1$, then both $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^l$ and I/lI are 0 and the map is an isomorphism.

Now suppose for every l prime there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^l \xrightarrow{\sim} I/lI$. Let M denote the cokernel $M = I/\phi(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$; we show $M = 0$ by showing for any l, the localized module $M_l = 0$. By definition, the sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\phi} I \to M \to 0$$

is right exact. Since localization and tensor product are right exact functors, the sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^l \to I_l/lI_l \to M_l/lM_l \to 0$$

is also right exact. By assumption the first map in this sequence is an isomorphism, so by exactness $M_l/lM_l = 0$. By Nakayama's lemma, this implies $M_l = 0$. ☐

I fits into the exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}-$ modules

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{Tr} \mathbb{Z}/(\frac{p-1}{2})\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Tr denotes the trace map that sends an element $\sum_{g \in G} a_g[g]$ to $\sum_{g \in G} a_g \in \mathbb{Z}/\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\mathbb{Z}$. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and let $N = \mathbb{Z}/\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\mathbb{Z}$; the above exact sequence becomes

$$0 \to I \to M \to N \to 0.$$

Let $B_l = \{x = \sum x_i[g_i] \in \mathbb{F}_l\,[G] : \sum x_i = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_l[G]$.

Proposition 3.2. *$I/lI \cong B_l$ if $l \neq 2$.*

Proof. Applying $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ to the above sequence, we have from the long exact sequence of Tor:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(I, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(N, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \to I \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \to M \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \overset{Tr\otimes\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}}{\to} N \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Notice $\mathrm{Tor}_1(I, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ as Abelian groups; since $\mathrm{Tor}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_1(N, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$, the map between them is an epimorphism. By exactness, this implies that the tail of the long exact sequence becomes the short exact sequence

$$0 \to I/lI \to \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}[G] \overset{Tr}{\to} \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Thus $I/lI \cong \{x = \sum x_i[g_i] \in \mathbb{F}_l\,[G] : \sum x_i = 0\}$. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *(a) If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, then $I/2I \cong \mathbb{F}_2[G]$.*

(b) If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $|G|$ is odd, then $I/2I \cong \mathbb{F}_2[G]$.

(c) If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $|G|$ is even, then $I/2I$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$.

Proof. (a) : Suppose $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Applying $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ to the above sequence, we have from the long exact sequence of Tor:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(I, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(N, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to I \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to M \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to N \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Now, since $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, both $\mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{Z}/(\frac{p-1}{2})\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{Z}/(\frac{p-1}{2})\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = 0$. This long exact sequence then becomes the shorter sequence

$$0 \to I/2I \overset{i\otimes\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}{\to} M \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Hence there is an isomorphism $I/2I \cong M \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[G]$, we see $I/2I \cong \mathbb{F}_2[G]$ when $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

(b), (c) : Suppose $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$. As an Abelian group, $I \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z})^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and so $I/2I$ is a rank n module over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let d be such that $2^d || p-1$; note $d \geq 2$. Define $T = \ker(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}/(2^{d-1})\mathbb{Z})$, and define a $G-$module homomorphism $\delta : I \to T$ by reducing coefficients of elements of $I \mod 2^d$ and acting by the identity on elements of G. Then one can use the generalized Chinese remainder theorem to prove this map is an epimorphism. Tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ we obtain a $G-$module epimorphism $I/2I \twoheadrightarrow T/2T$ between $G-$modules over $\mathbb{F}_2$ of the same rank. Thus $\delta \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism of $G-$modules, so $I/2I \cong T/2T$ as $\mathbb{F}_2[G]-$modules.

First suppose $|G|$ is odd. Notice every element in T can be written as $2^{d-1}\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i[g_i]$, where $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \equiv 0 \mod 2^d$. Let $\alpha = (2^{d-1} - |G|)[g_1] + \sum_{i=1}^n [g_1] \in T$; then for any $g_j \in G$, $g_j\alpha = \alpha + (2^{d-1} - |G|)([g_j] - [g_1])$. Since $2^{d-1} - |G|$ is odd by assumption, so we see that the set $\{g_j\alpha - \alpha\}_{i=1}^n$ generates all elements $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i[g_i]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv 0 \mod 2^d$ in $T/2T$. Since α itself has trace 2^{d-1}, we see that α generates all of $T/2T$ as a $G-$module. Thus $T/2T$ is cyclic as a $G-$module; since it is of rank n, it must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$, and thus also $I/2I \cong \mathbb{F}_2[G]$.

Now suppose $|G|$ is even. The element α lies in the G invariants of $T/2T$ since for any $g_j \in G$, $g_j\alpha - \alpha = (2^{d-1} - |G|)([g_j] - [g_1]) \in 2T$. Since also $2^{d-1}[g_1]$ lies in the G invariants of $T/2T$, the G invariants of $T/2T$ is at least $2-$dimensional over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Since the G invariants of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ is $1-$dimensional, we see that $T/2T$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as $G-$modules. Since $I/2I \cong T/2T$ as $G-$modules, we conclude $I/2I$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as $G-$modules.

□

For any l (except $l = 2$ when $|G|$ is even), let ϕ_l denote the composition of ϕ with the map to $\mathbb{F}_l[G]$ obtained from the previous propositions. Explicitly, this map is simply reduction mod l of the coefficients t_i of elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}[G]$. By Proposition 2.1 and the previous three propositions, we deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ or if $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $|G|$ is odd, then $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if the image of ϕ_l equals B_l for every $l|p-1$, $l \neq 2$ and the image of ϕ_2 is all of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$. If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $|G|$ is even, then $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I/2I$ and the image of ϕ_l equals B_l for every $l|p-1$, $l \neq 2$.*

We also deduce the following weaker theorem, which will be useful later.

Theorem 3.5. *$[L_p : H(\zeta_p^+)]$ is a power of 2 if and only if the image of ϕ_l equals B_l for every $l|p-1$, $l \neq 2$.*

3.2 Transition from ϕ_l to Frobenius elements

Theorem 3.4 can be reformulated into a question about certain Frobenius elements attached to the ϕ_l for $l|p-1$. Given a prime $l|p-1$, let $K_l = K\left(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}\right)$, where ζ_l denotes a primitive l^{th} root of unity. Then K_l is a Galois extension of $K(\zeta_l)$, so let $G_l = \mathrm{Gal}(K_l/K(\zeta_l))$. Since $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ is a $\mathbb{Z}-$module of rank $n-1$ with $\left(\mathcal{O}_K^\times\right)_{\mathrm{tors}} = \{\pm 1\}$, for $l \neq 2$, $|G_l| = l^{n-1}$ and $G_l \cong (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ as groups. In contrast, for $l = 2$, $\sqrt{-1} \in K_2$ but not in $K(\zeta_2) = K$; thus $G_2 = \mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\zeta_2)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ and $|G_2| = 2^n$.

The next few lemmas will prove that the fields K_l can be generated over as a $G-$module $K(\zeta_l)$ by a single unit. The following lemma is proved in Washington [16].

Lemma 3.6. *[16, Lemma 5.27] There is a unit ϵ of K such that the set of units $\{g_i(\epsilon)\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ is multiplicatively independent, hence is such that $\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \mathbb{Z}[G]\cdot\epsilon\right] = N < \infty$.*

The next lemma proves that for every $l \nmid n = |G|$ (in particular the finitely many $l|N$ that are coprime to n), there exists a unit ϵ_l exists such that $\gcd\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \mathbb{Z}[G]\cdot\epsilon_l\right], l\right) = 1$.

Lemma 3.7. *If $l \nmid n$, then there is a unit ϵ_l so that* $\gcd\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \mathbb{Z}[G]\cdot\epsilon_l\right], l\right) = 1$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}_l$ is cyclic as a $G-$module over $\mathbb{F}_l$. Consider the map $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{L} \mathbb{R}[G]$, $L : u \mapsto (\log|g_1(u)|, \log|g_2(u)|, \ldots, \log|g_n(u)|)$. L maps $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ into the trace zero submodule $\mathbb{R}[G]^{\mathrm{tr}=0}$ of $\mathbb{R}[G]$. By Dirichlet's unit theorem, after tensoring with $\mathbb{R}$ this map becomes an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{L\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[G]^{\mathrm{tr}=0}$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is flat over $\mathbb{R}$, this gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathrm{tr}=0}$. By considering the $G \times G$ bimodule structure on $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a direct summand of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ and hence cyclic as a $\mathbb{Q}[G]-$module. Since localization is exact, $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ is cyclic as a $\mathbb{Q}_l[G]-$module. Since $l \nmid |G|$, there is an equivalence of categories between $\mathbb{Q}_l[G]-$modules and $\mathbb{F}_l[G]-$modules, and so $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}_l$ is also cyclic as an

$\mathbb{F}_l[G]-$module.

Since $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}_l$ is cyclic as a $G-$module, by Nakayama's lemma the $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}-$module $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ obtained by tensoring $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ with the local ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ is also cyclic as a $G-$module. Thus there exists a unit $\tilde{\epsilon_l} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} = \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}[G] \cdot \tilde{\epsilon_l}$. Viewing $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, we see $\tilde{\epsilon_l} = u^{r/s}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and integers r and s with $l \nmid s$. Set $\epsilon_l := u^r \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$; then the $G-$modules generated by ϵ_l and $\tilde{\epsilon_l}$ coincide in $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$. Thus after tensoring the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\cdot \epsilon_l} \mathcal{O}_K^\times \to \mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot \epsilon_l \to 0$$

with $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \xrightarrow{\cdot \epsilon_l} \mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \to \mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot \epsilon_l \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \to 0,$$

where the first map is an isomorphism. Thus $\mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot \epsilon_l \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot \epsilon_l$ is torsion as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]-$module and has order coprime to l. ☐

For a unit $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, let U_η be the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ generated by η as a $G-$module, $U_\eta = \mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot \eta$.

Lemma 3.8. *If η is a unit of K such that $\gcd\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : U_\eta\right], l\right) = 1$ then*
$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \sqrt[l]{U_\eta} = \sqrt[l]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$.

Proof. $U_\eta \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and so $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \sqrt[l]{U_\eta} \subseteq \sqrt[l]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$. Conversely, let $\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : U_\eta\right] = r$ and let $x \in \sqrt[l]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$; notice that $x^r \in \sqrt[l]{U_\eta}$ and $x^l \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Since $\gcd(r, l) = 1$ there are integers a and b such that $1 = al + br$, and $x = x^{al+br} = (x^l)^b (x^r)^a \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \sqrt[l]{U_\eta}$. ☐

For a unit η, set $\eta_i := g_i^{-1}(\eta)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, we deduce for every prime $l | p-1$ with $l \nmid N$, $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_n})$ for ϵ the unit given by Lemma 3.6. If $l | N$ and $l \nmid n$, by Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, we deduce $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_{l_1}}, \ldots, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_{l_n}})$ for ϵ_l the unit given by Lemma 3.7. For the finitely many $l | n$, we see that by assumption **(G1)** for this section and Lemma 3.8, $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_{l_1}}, \ldots, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_{l_n}})$. Thus for every l there is a unit ϵ such that $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_n})$. Also, there are only finitely many distinct ϵ for all l prime.

Remark 3.9. For any l, an element $\tau \in G_l$ is uniquely determined by its action on $\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, once a particular l^{th} root of unity is chosen. We choose an l^{th} root of unity as follows. Choose $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ a generator for the cyclic group $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^\times$. Given a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, $\mathfrak{P}$ is completely split in $K(\zeta_l)$ since $l | p-1$ and p is completely split in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, and so there is an isomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^\times \cong (\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_l)}/\mathfrak{P})^\times$ induced by inclusion. Thus a will also generate $(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_l)}/\mathfrak{P})^\times$. Since $a^{(p-1)/l}$ is not congruent to $1 \mod \mathfrak{P}$ but $(a^{(p-1)/l})^l \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{P}$, $a^{(p-1)/l} \equiv \zeta \mod \mathfrak{P}$ for a particular l^{th} root of unity $\zeta = \zeta_l$, which we fix here. This choice of ζ gives G_l its structure: for any i, $(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i})^l = \epsilon_i \in K$ and $x^l - \epsilon_i = \prod_{t=1}^{l}(x - \zeta^t \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i})$. Since τ maps roots to roots, τ must map $\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ to $\zeta^{a_i} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_l$. Here the unit ϵ the unit which exists either by assumption **(G1)** if $l | n$ or is the unit given by Lemma 3.6.

Define an action of G on G_l as follows. Let $g_k \in G$ and let $\tau \in G_l$, say $\tau(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}) = \zeta^{a_i} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since G acts transitively and faithfully on itself, there is a unique element of the symmetric group $s_k \in S_n$ defined by $g_k g_i = g_{s_k(i)}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. The action of G on G_l is then given by $(g_k \cdot \tau)(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_j}) = \zeta^{a_{s_k^{-1}(j)}} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_j}$. One can check that this is indeed a G action, making G_l a $G-$module. Define a $G-$module map $f : G_l \to \mathbb{F}_l\,[G]$ as follows. For $\tau \in G_l$, say $\tau(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}) = \zeta^{a_i} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, define $f(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i [g_i] \in \mathbb{F}_l\,[G]$. This map is an injection of $G-$modules.

Proposition 3.10. *If $l \neq 2$, f gives an isomorphism of $G-$modules $G_l \cong B_l \subseteq \mathbb{F}_l\,[G]$. If $l = 2$, then* $\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\sqrt{-1})) \cong B_2$.

Proof. First suppose $l \neq 2$. Notice $((\prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i})^l)^2 = (N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\epsilon))^2 = 1$, so $\prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i} = \zeta_{2l}$. $\zeta_{2l} \in K(\zeta_l)$ since for $l \neq 2$, the field generated by the l^{th} roots of unity is the same field extension as the field generated by the $2l^{th}$ roots of unity, and hence $\prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ is fixed by elements of G_l. Let $\sigma \in G_l$, say $\sigma(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}) = \zeta^{t_i}\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ so that $f(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i[g_i]$. Then we have $\sigma\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \zeta^{t_i}\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i} = \zeta^{t_1+\cdots+t_n}\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$. This implies $t_1 + \cdots + t_n \equiv 0 \mod l$, and so $f(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i[g_i] \in B_l$. Hence f is an injective $G-$modules that maps into B_l. Since B_l and G_l both have the same order, the result follows.

If $l = 2$, then notice for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\sqrt{-1}))$, $\sigma(\prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\epsilon_i}) = \sigma(\sqrt{-1}) = \sqrt{-1}$. A similar argument to the one above shows $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i[g_i] \in B_2$. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\sqrt{-1}))$ is a group of order 2^{n-1} by Galois theory, we see that f gives a $G-$module isomorphism from $\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\sqrt{-1}))$ to B_2. ☐

Consider the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} = (\mathfrak{P}, K_l/K(\zeta_l)) \in G_l$ attached to a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}$ for $l|p-1$. This will be a well defined element of G_l (independent of choice of prime ideal $\mathfrak{B}|\mathfrak{P}$ in K_l) since the extension $K_l/K(\zeta_l)$ is Abelian.

Proposition 3.11. *The image of ϵ under ϕ_l and the image of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ under f coincide in $\mathbb{F}_l\,[G]$.*

Proof. Let $f(\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i[g_i]$, so that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}) = \zeta^{a_i}\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a prime ideal of K_l lying above $\mathfrak{P}$. Since $|\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_l)}/\mathfrak{P}| = p$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i}) \equiv (\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_i})^p \mod \mathfrak{B}$ by definition of the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$. This implies $\zeta^{a_i} \equiv (\epsilon_i)^{(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{B}$ and in fact $\zeta^{a_i} \equiv (\epsilon_i)^{(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{P}$. Since also $a^{(p-1)/l} \equiv \zeta \mod \mathfrak{P}$ by choice of ζ, we deduce $(\epsilon_i)^{(p-1)/l} \equiv a^{a_i(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{P}$.

Now we turn to the image of ϵ under ϕ_l. Say $\phi_l(\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i[g_i]$. Then $g_i^{-1}(\epsilon) = \epsilon_i \equiv a^{d_i} \mod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, and so $(\epsilon_i)^{(p-1)/l} \equiv a^{(d_i)(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$, $(\epsilon_i)^{(p-1)/l} \equiv a^{(d_i)(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{P}$. Then $(\epsilon_i)^{(p-1)/l} \equiv a^{a_i(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{P} \equiv a^{(d_i)(p-1)/l} \mod \mathfrak{P}$ and so $a_i(p-1)/l \equiv (d_i)(p-1)/l \mod p-1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $a_i \equiv d_i \mod l$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. We conclude $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[g_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i[g_i]$. ☐

Remark 3.12. If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, notice that p is split in the field $K(\sqrt{-1}) \subseteq K_2$, and so $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\sqrt{-1})) \cong B_2$ by Proposition 3.10. Thus the $G-$module generated by $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ will lie in B_2, and so by Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 3.4 $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ will never generate G_2 as a $G-$module if $|G|$ is odd.

A priori there are $[K(\zeta_l) : \mathbb{Q}]$ primes $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above p since p is split in $K/\mathbb{Q}$ and $l|p-1$. However, for two primes $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{P}'$ lying above p, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ generate subgroups in G_l of the same size which are conjugate in $\mathrm{Gal}(K_l/\mathbb{Q})$, and so $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a $G-$module if and only if $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ does. Hence the choice of Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} \in G_l$ is independent of $\mathfrak{P}|p$ (and so also independent of choice of $\mathfrak{p}|p$), and we may choose the Frobenius element of any $\mathfrak{P}$ lying over p. We deduce that the image of ϕ_l in $\mathbb{F}_l\,[G]$ is as large as possible if and only if $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a G module for any $\mathfrak{P}|p$. Now combining this with Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.10, we arrive at the following reformulation.

Theorem 3.13. *Let p be a completely split prime in K.*

(a) If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if for every $l|p-1$, the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of any prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above p generates $G_l = \mathrm{Gal}(K_l/K(\zeta_l))$ as a $G-$module.

(b) If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $|G|$ is even, then $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I/2I$ and for every $l|p-1$, $l \neq 2$, the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of any prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above p generates G_l as a $G-$module.

(c) If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $|G|$ is odd, then it will never be the case that $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$.

Using Theorem 3.5 we also deduce the following.

Theorem 3.14. *Let p be a completely split prime in K. $[L_p : H(\zeta_p^+)]$ is a power of 2 if and only if for every $l|p-1$, $l \neq 2$, the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of any prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above p generates G_l as a $G-$module.*

4 Conjectural density

We can now compute the conjectural density of primes p for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ in the case K is a totally real field containing a unit of norm -1 satisfying condition (**G1**). Let $P_2^{'}$ denote the probability that a random element of $G_2 = \mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\zeta_2))$ generates G_2 as a $G-$module. Let $P_2^{''}$ denote the density of primes $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ for which $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I/2I$. Let $P_2 = P_2^{'} + P_2^{''}$. For any prime $l \neq 2$, let P_l denote the probability that a random element in G_l generates G_l as a $G-$module. From Chebotarev's density theorem, the density of primes p which split completely in $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is $\frac{1}{n}$. In light of Theorem 3.13, we conjecture that the density of primes p completely split in K for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ is $D = \dfrac{1}{n}\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}} P_l$.

The probabilities P_l can be explicitly computed when $l \nmid n$ or $l \neq 2$. When l does not divide $n = |G|$, by Maschke's theorem G_l will be a semisimple module over $\mathbb{F}_l[G]$, and so there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_l[G]$ modules $G_l \cong \oplus_{i=1}^d V_j^{d_j}$, where V_j are distinct simple $\mathbb{F}_l[G]$ modules. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} V_j = m_j$. For each j, the probability that a random $(v_{j_1}, \dots v_{j_{d_j}}) \in V_j^{d_j}$ generates all of $V_j^{d_j}$ is $\dfrac{1}{l^{m_j d_j}}\left(\dfrac{(l^{m_j}-1)!}{(l^{m_j}-1-d_j)!}\right)$. Then, since V_i and V_j are distinct irreducibles if $i \neq j$, the probability that a random $v \in G_l$ generates all of G_l is $D_l := \displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{l^{m_j d_j}}\left(\frac{(l^{m_j}-1)!}{(l^{m_j}-1-d_j)!}\right)$. The only time a prime p is not counted in the probability P_l is when $l|N(\mathfrak{P})-1$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ does not generate G_l for any prime $\mathfrak{P}|p$. Now, $l|N(\mathfrak{P})-1$ if and only if $\mathfrak{P}$ is completely split in the extension $K(\zeta_l)/K$, and so the probability that $l|N(\mathfrak{P})-1$ is $\dfrac{1}{[K(\zeta_l):K]}$ by Chebotarev's density theorem. Thus given any $l \nmid n$, the probability that a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ is such that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a $G-$module is $P_l := 1 - \dfrac{1}{[K(\zeta_l):K]}(1-D_l)$. For the finitely many prime numbers $l|n$ or $l = 2$, we must determine the value of P_l by other means. The conjecture of this paper is that the density of primes for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ is equal to $D = \dfrac{1}{n}\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}} P_l = \frac{1}{n}\prod_{l \text{ prime}, l|n} P_l \prod_{l \text{ prime}, l\nmid n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{[K(\zeta_l):K]}(1-D_l)\right)$. Since $[K(\zeta_l) : K] \neq l-1$ if and only if the prime l ramifies in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, we see that for all but finitely many primes l, $[K(\zeta_l) : K] = l-1$. Hence only finitely many terms of $D = \frac{1}{n}\prod_{l \text{ prime}} P_l$ differ from $\dfrac{1}{n}\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)\right)$.

Remark 4.1. In the case that K is a multiquadratic field of degree $n = 2^m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ containing a unit of norm -1, we prove in Theorem 5.6 that K satisfies condition **(G1)**. $l = 2$ is the only prime dividing the order of G, and for every $l \neq 2$, we know $G_l \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i$, where V_i are distinct irreducible one-dimensional $G-$modules. The formula for D_l then simplifies to

$$D_l = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l}\frac{(l-1)!}{(l-2)!} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{l-1}{l} = \frac{(l-1)^{n-1}}{l^{n-1}},$$

and so $1-D_l = \dfrac{l^{n-1}-(l-1)^{n-1}}{l^{n-1}}$. We prove in Lemma 5.4 that the density P_2 equals $\frac{1}{2}$ for all totally real multiquadratic fields containing a unit of norm -1. Thus the conjectured density of primes p completely split K for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ is $D = \dfrac{1}{2n}\displaystyle\prod_{l\neq 2}\left(1-\frac{1}{[K(\zeta_l):K]}\left(\frac{l^{n-1}-(l-1)^{n-1}}{l^{n-1}}\right)\right)$.

We show that the infinite product $\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}} 1-\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)$ is nonzero, so the conjectural density D is nonzero.

Proposition 4.2. $\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}} 1-\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)$ *is nonzero.*

Proof. Since $\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}} 1-\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)\neq 0$ if and only if the corresponding infinite series $\log(\displaystyle\prod_{l \text{ prime}} 1-\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)) = \sum_l \log(1-\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l))$ is absolutely convergent, we will show instead that the absolute value of the sum is finite. Since $\log(1-x)<\log(1+x)$ and by comparison of graphs $\log(1+x)\leq x$, we deduce $\displaystyle\sum_{l \text{ prime}}\log(1-\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)) \leq \sum_{l \text{ prime}}\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)$.

For any l, $(l-1)^{n-1}\geq l^{n-1}-\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-1}{i}l^i$, and so $l^{n-1}-(l-1)^{n-1}\leq l^{n-1}-l^{n-1}+\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-1}{i}l^i$. The leading terms in the numerator of $1-D_l$ cancel, and $\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-1}{i}\leq (1+1)^{n-1}=2^{n-1}$, and so $\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-1}{i}l^i\leq\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-1}{i}l^{n-2}\leq 2^{n-1}l^{n-2}$. Hence

$$1-D_l=\frac{l^{n-1}-(l-1)^{n-1}}{l^{n-1}}\leq\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\binom{n-1}{i}l^i}{l^{n-1}}\leq\frac{2^{n-1}l^{n-2}}{l^{n-1}}=\frac{2^{n-1}}{l}.$$

The number $c_0=2^{n-1}$ is a fixed constant independent of l. Then $\displaystyle\sum_l\frac{1}{l-1}(1-D_l)\leq\sum_l\frac{1}{l-1}\frac{c_0}{l}\leq c_0\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{n(n-1)}<\infty$, and so the series converges. ☐

4.1 Example: Conjectural density in biquadratic fields

Suppose K is a totally real biquadratic field containing a unit of norm -1. The conjectural density of all primes p for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ is

$$D=\frac{1}{4}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\prod_{l\neq 2}\left(1-\frac{1}{[K(\zeta_l):K]}\left(1-\frac{(l-1)^3}{l^3}\right)\right).$$

Next we compute the conjectural density in a specific example. We show in Example 7.7 that the biquadratic field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5},\sqrt{13})$ contains a unit of norm -1 and has Hilbert class field equal to K. We know that $[K(\zeta_l):K]=l-1$ except for those primes l ramifying in the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$. In this case, only the primes 5 and 13 ramify, and for these primes $[K(\zeta_l):K]=\frac{l-1}{2}$. Thus $[K(\zeta_5):K]=2$ and

$[K(\zeta_{13}) : K] = 6$. For small primes l, one can compute:
$P_2 = \dfrac{1}{2}$, $P_3 = 1 - \dfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \dfrac{2^3}{3^3}\right) = \dfrac{35}{54}$, $P_5 = 1 - \dfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \dfrac{4^3}{5^3}\right) = \dfrac{189}{250}$, $P_7 = 1 - \dfrac{1}{6}\left(1 - \dfrac{6^3}{7^3}\right) = \dfrac{1931}{2058}$, and $P_{13} = 1 - \dfrac{1}{6}\left(1 - \dfrac{12^3}{13^3}\right) = \dfrac{12713}{13812}$. Hence the conjectural density is

$$D = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{35}{54} \cdot \frac{189}{250} \cdot \frac{1931}{2058} \cdots = 0.0510458\ldots.$$

This conjectural density is very close to the actual density among the first 30 thousand primes for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ we calculated using a computer.

5 Multiquadratic fields

We will now restrict our attention to totally real multiquadratic fields. Throughout this section and the next, K will denote a totally real multiquadratic field containing a unit of norm -1 of degree $n = 2^m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$, $m \geq 1$. Recall there are integers $d_i > 0$ for which $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{d_m})$, and for all $i \neq j$, $d_i \nmid d_j$. Because K is totally real, $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_K^\times)_{\text{tors}} \cong \{\pm 1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. We call a set of $n-1$ generators for the non-torsion summand of the unit group a *system of fundamental units* of K. In this section we will reinterpret the equivalent conditions to $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ given in Theorem 3.13 for multiquadratic fields. We also prove that K satisfies condition **(G1)**.

5.1 Determination of the map ψ at $l = 2$

Let H be the Hilbert class field of K, and $\zeta_p^+ = \zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}$ for ζ_p a primitive p^{th} root of unity and p a prime split in K. In this section we prove that when $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, the image of the map ψ_p cannot have size $2(p-1)^{n-1}$, and so it is never the case that $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$. On the other hand, when $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, we prove that the map ϕ_2 is always surjective.

The following lemma uses in an essential way the fact that K is a multiquadratic field.

Lemma 5.1. *An element $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i[g_i] \in \mathbb{F}_2[G]$ will generate $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as a $G-$module if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i[g_i]$. First suppose $\sum x_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$. Then there are an odd number of nonzero coefficients of x. Let $g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_{2k+1}}$ be the $2k+1$ elements of G with nonzero x_{i_j} coefficients. Then $x \cdot x = \sum_{j=1}^{2k+1}[g_{i_j}] \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{2k+1}[g_{i_t}] = \sum_{j=1}^{2k+1}\sum_{t=1}^{2k+1}[g_{i_j} \cdot g_{i_t}] = (2k+1)[g_1] + 2\sum\sum_{j \neq t}[g_{i_j} \cdot g_{i_t}] = (2k+1)[g_1] + 0 = [g_1]$, since we are working in characteristic 2 and every element of G has order 2. Hence $x^2 = [g_1]$. The action of G gives us all elements of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ with exactly one nonzero coefficient, and adding these together will give us all elements of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ with exactly 2, 3, ... , and n nonzero coefficients. Hence x will generate $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as a $G-$module. If $\sum x_i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, then for any element y in $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$, the coefficients of $x \cdot y$ will always sum to zero, and so x cannot generate all of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as a $G-$module. $\square$

By Proposition 3.1, if the image of ψ has order $2(p-1)^{n-1}$, then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]-$modules $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \to I/2I$. Also, by Proposition 3.3, since 2 divides the order of the Galois group of a multiquadratic field, we have that $I/2I$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as $G-$modules.

Proposition 5.2. *$\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as $G-$modules.*

Proof. Consider the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \to \prod_{v|\infty} \mathbb{R}^\times/(\mathbb{R}^\times)^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}_2[G] \xrightarrow{Tr} \mathbb{F}_2,$$

$$u \mapsto (\sigma_v(u))_{v|\infty} = ((-1)^{t_v})_{v|\infty} \to \sum_{v|\infty} t_v[\sigma_v] \to \sum_{v|\infty} t_v,$$

where we naturally identify the n real infinite primes v with elements σ_v of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, and Tr is the trace map. This composition is simply the norm map mod squares in additive notation. This map factors through $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2$, giving a homomorphism of $G-$modules $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \to \mathbb{F}_2[G]$. By assumption there is a unit $\epsilon^{'}$ of K of norm -1, and so the image under the above map of $\epsilon^{'}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Hence by Lemma 5.1, the image of $\epsilon^{'}$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ generates $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$. This gives an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \to \mathbb{F}_2[G]$ onto a free module, which is an isomorphism since both $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2$ and $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ have the same size. ☐

Thus if $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, since $I/2I$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$, the map $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^2 \to I/2I$ cannot be an isomorphism of $G-$modules; hence $P_2^{''} = 0$. By Proposition 3.1, the image of ψ cannot have size $2(p-1)^{n-1}$, and so by Proposition 2.1, it is never the case that $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$. We deduce that if $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$, then p is not equivalent to $1 \mod 4$, and so p must be equivalent to $3 \mod 4$. We obtain an even stronger result when $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

Proposition 5.3. *If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, then the map ϕ_2 is surjective.*

Proof. The image under ψ of $\epsilon^{'}$ in $\prod_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i)^\times$ can be written $(\epsilon^{'} \mod \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \epsilon^{'} \mod \mathfrak{p}_n) = ((g_1(a))^{t_1}, \ldots, (g_n(a))^{t_n})$, where a is a generator for $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^\times$, and so $\prod_{i=1}^n g_i^{-1}(\epsilon^{'}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\epsilon^{'}) = -1 \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n a^{t_i} = a^{\sum t_i} \mod \mathfrak{p}$. Since a is a generator for $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^\times$, $-1 \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \mod \mathfrak{p}$ and so $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv a^{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i} \mod \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\frac{p-1}{2} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mod p-1$, implying $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \equiv 1 \mod 2$ since $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Thus $\phi_2(\epsilon^{'}) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i[g_i] \in \{x = \sum x_i g_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[G] | \sum x_i = 1\}$. By Lemma 5.1, we see $\phi_2(\epsilon^{'})$ will generate $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ as a $G-$module, and so ϕ_2 is surjective. ☐

We deduce that if $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ it is always the case that the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of any prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above p generates $G_2 = \mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K(\zeta_2))$ as a $G-$module.

Lemma 5.4. *Let K be a multiquadratic field. Then*

$$P_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } K \text{ contains a unit of norm } -1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For every totally real multiquadratic field K, we have shown that $P_2^{''} = 0$. Notice $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ if and only if a prime ideal above p generates $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{-1})/K(\zeta_2)) = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{-1}/K))$ as a $G-$module. Thus by Proposition 5.3 and Chebotarev's density theorem, we deduce $P_2^{'} = \frac{1}{2}$ for all fields K containing a unit of norm -1. If K does not contain a unit of norm -1, then the image of $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ lies in $B_2 = \{x = \sum x_i[g_i] \in \mathbb{F}_2[G] | \sum x_i = 0\}$, and so $P_2^{'} = 0$. The result follows since $P_2 = P_2^{'} + P_2^{''}$. ☐

We further conclude that the reformulation in Theorem 3.13 remains valid if we replace $K_2 = K(\sqrt{\mathcal{O}_K^\times})$ with the simpler field $K(\sqrt{-1})$. Combining this with the previous results of this section, we can now restate Theorem 3.13 for multiquadratic fields.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times})$ if $l \neq 2$ is prime and let $K_2 = K(\sqrt{-1})$. For a prime p completely split in K, $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if for every $l | p-1$, the Frobenius element $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of any prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying above p generates $G_l = \mathrm{Gal}(K_l/K(\zeta_l))$ as a $G-$module.*

Lastly, we prove the condition (**G1**) is satisfied for every multiquadratic field K containing a unit of norm -1.

Theorem 5.6. *If $\epsilon^{'}$ is a unit of norm -1, then* $\gcd\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \mathbb{Z}[G]\cdot\epsilon^{'}\right], 2\right) = 1$.

Proof. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}[G]\cdot\epsilon^{'}$ and $N = \mathcal{O}_K^\times$; then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/M \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since localization and tensor product are right exact functors, the sequence

$$M_{(2)}/2M_{(2)} \longrightarrow N_{(2)}/2N_{(2)} \longrightarrow (N/M)_{(2)}/2\,(N/M)_{(2)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Using Proposition 5.2 we deduce that the first map is an isomorphism, and so by exactness $(N/M)_{(2)}/2\,(N/M)_{(2)} = 0$. By Nakayama's lemma, this implies $(N/M)_{(2)} = 0$. Thus N/M is torsion as a $\mathbb{Z}-$module and has order coprime to 2. ☐

5.2 An equivalent condition on Frobenius elements for $l \neq 2$

Fix a prime $l \neq 2$. In this section we will further reformulate the condition on the Frobenius elements $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ given in Theorem 3.13. Let Q_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ denote the $n-1$ quadratic subfields of K. For each i, let H_i denote the index two subgroup of G corresponding to the fixed field Q_i. Then $H_i = \mathrm{Gal}(K/Q_i)$ and $Q_i = K^{H_i}$. By Maschke's theorem, since $l \nmid |G| = 2^m$, $G_l \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i$ as $G-$modules, where each V_i is a one dimensional irreducible G-module. Explicitly, since the action of an element $\sigma \in G_l$ on $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_n})$ is given by $\sigma(\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_k}) = \zeta_l^{a_k}\sqrt[l]{\epsilon_k}$, we compute that for each i, $V_i = \mathbb{F}_l\left[\sum_{h\in H_i} h - \sum_{g\notin H_i} g\right]$. Let $\pi_i : G_l \to V_i$ denote the natural projection map onto the irreducible submodule V_i. This map has kernel isomorphic to $M_i := \oplus_{j\neq i} V_j = \mathrm{Gal}(K_l/K_l^{M_i})$, and so $\sigma \in G_l$, $\pi_i(\sigma) = 0$ if and only if $\sigma \in \oplus_{j\neq i} V_j$, or equivalently σ is the identity on the field $K_l^{M_i}$. Define $u_i := \prod_{h\in H_i} h(\epsilon) \in Q_i \subseteq K$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, where ϵ is the unit for which $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[l]{\epsilon_n})$ that exists by Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 5.7. *For every* $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $K_l^{M_i} = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})$.

Proof. From Galois theory $[K_l^{M_i} : K(\zeta_l)] = l$, so the extension is cyclic generated by an l^{th} root. We show that $\sqrt[l]{u_i} \in K_l^{M_i}$, so that adjoining it to $K(\zeta_l)$ yields a degree l extension of $K(\zeta_l)$ in $K_l^{M_i}$. Since the degree of $K_l^{M_i}$ over $K(\zeta_l)$ is l, in fact $K_l^{M_i} = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})$.

Consider for any $i \neq j$ the containment $H_i \leq H_iH_j \leq G$. The first containment is proper because $i \neq j$. Since $[G : H_i] = 2$, we must have $H_iH_j = G$. Then $|H_i \cap H_j| = \dfrac{|H_i||H_j|}{|H_iH_j|} = 2^{m-2}$, and so for every $i \neq j$, $[H_j : H_i \cap H_j] = 2$, or equivalently exactly half of the elements of H_i are in H_j. Then for any $\sigma \in V_j = \mathbb{F}_l[\sum_{h\in H_i} h - \sum_{g\notin H_i g} g]$, say $\sigma = a(\sum_{h\in H_i} h - \sum_{g\notin H_i} g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\sqrt[l]{u_i}) &= \sigma(\prod_{h\in H_i} \sqrt[l]{h(\epsilon)}) \\
&= \prod_{h\in H_i\cap H_j} \zeta_l^a \sqrt[l]{h(\epsilon)} \cdot \prod_{h\in H_i\setminus H_j} \zeta_l^{-a}\sqrt[l]{h(\epsilon)} \\
&= \zeta_l^0 \sqrt[l]{u_i} = \sqrt[l]{u_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus every $\sigma \in V_j$ fixes $\sqrt[l]{u_i}$. Since this holds for all $j \neq i$, we see that every element in $M_i = \oplus_{j\neq i} V_j$ fixes $\sqrt[l]{u_i}$, and so $\sqrt[l]{u_i} \in K_l^{M_i}$. ☐

Let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} = (\mathfrak{P}, K_l/K(\zeta_l))$ be the Frobenius element of a completely split prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K(\zeta_l)$ lying over p. For each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $\mathfrak{Q}_i := \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathcal{O}_{Q_i(\zeta_l)}$; then $\mathfrak{Q}_i$ is a completely split prime of $Q_i(\zeta_l)$ that $\mathfrak{P}$ lies above.

Lemma 5.8. *$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a G-module if and only if for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, Frob$(\mathfrak{Q}_i, Q_i(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/Q_i(\zeta_l)) \neq (id)$.*

Proof. Since $G_l \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i$ as G-modules with V_i irreducible G-modules, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a G-module if and only if $\pi_i(\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}) \neq 0 \in V_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Thus $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l if and only if $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ does not equal the identity map on any of the fields $K_l^{M_i}$, or equivalently if and only if $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}|_{K_l^{M_i}} \neq (id)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}|_{K_l^{M_i}} &= (\mathfrak{P}, K_l/K(\zeta_l))|_{K_l^{M_i}} \\ &= (\mathfrak{P}, K_l^{M_i}/K(\zeta_l)) \\ &= (\mathfrak{P}, K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/K(\zeta_l)) \end{aligned}$$

by properties of Frobenius elements in towers of fields. Thus $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a G-module if and only if for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $(\mathfrak{P}, K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u})/K(\zeta_l)) \neq (id)$. Notice the element $u_i = \prod_{h \in H_i} h(\epsilon) \in Q_i = K^{H_i}$ since u_i is fixed by every element of H_i. Then Frob$(\mathfrak{P}, K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/K(\zeta_l)) \neq (id)$ if and only if the Frobenius element Frob$(\mathfrak{Q}_i, Q_i(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/Q_i(\zeta_l)) \neq (id)$, since both elements have order a power of l and their order differs by at most $2^{m-1} = [K : Q_i]$. Thus $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a G-module if and only if for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, Frob$(\mathfrak{Q}_i, Q_i(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/Q_i(\zeta_l)) \neq (id)$. ☐

6 Application of analytic number theory

Now that we have reformulated the problem and proved that condition **(G1)** is satisfied for multiquadratic fields containing a unit of norm -1, we can prove our conjectures for multiquadratic fields, assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis.

6.1 Classical argument

We will adapt techniques given by Hooley [4] in his proof of Artin's conjecture on primitive roots to our setting. We fix notation which we will use throughout the rest of this section.

Notation 6.1. *Let l denote a prime number. Let r and k denote positive integers, with k squarefree.*

In what follows we disregard the prime $p = 2$ since we are only interested in the asymptotic behavior of p. Recall $K_l = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times})$ for $l \neq 2$ and $K_2 = K(\sqrt{-1})$. For p a prime that splits completely in K, let $R(p, l) = 1$ if $l | p-1$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ does not generate $G_l = \text{Gal}(L_l/K(\zeta_l))$ as a $G-$module for any $\mathfrak{P}|p$ of $K(\zeta_l)$, and 0 otherwise. Define the following for x, δ, δ_1, $\delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$N(x, \delta) = |\{p \text{ split in } K/\mathbb{Q} : p \leq x, R(p, l) = 0 \ \forall \ l \leq \delta\}|$$

$$N(x) = N(x, x-1) = |\{p \text{ split in } K/\mathbb{Q} : p \leq x, R(p, l) = 0 \ \forall \ l \leq x-1\}|$$

$$P(x, k) = |\{p \text{ split in } K/\mathbb{Q} : p \leq x, R(p, l) = 1 \ \forall \ l | k\}|$$

$$M(x, \delta_1, \delta_2) = |\{p \text{ split in } K/\mathbb{Q} : p \leq x, R(p, l) = 1 \ \exists \ l \in (\delta_1, \delta_2]\}|$$

$$S_l = \{\text{primes } p \text{ completely split in } K/\mathbb{Q}: \text{ for any } \mathfrak{P}|p \text{ of } K(\zeta_l),$$
$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} \text{ generates } G_l \text{ as a } G-\text{module if } l|p-1, \text{ no condition otherwise }\}$$

$$S = \bigcap S_l = \{\text{primes } p \text{ completely split in } K/\mathbb{Q}: \forall l|p-1,$$
$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} \text{ generates } G_l \text{ as a } G \text{ module for any } \mathfrak{P}|p \text{ of } K(\zeta_l)\}$$

By Theorem 5.5, $L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)$ if and only if for all $l|p-1$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ generates G_l as a $G-$module for any prime $\mathfrak{P}|p$ of $K(\zeta_l)$. Thus

$$N(x) = \left|\{p \text{ split in } K/\mathbb{Q}: p \leq x, L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)\}\right|$$

and $S = \{\text{primes } p \text{ completely split in } K/\mathbb{Q}: L_p = H(\zeta_p^+)\}$. We wish to show that the set S has a positive density in the set of all primes. We will do so by investigating the limit as $x \to \infty$ of the ratio $\dfrac{N(x)}{x/\log x}$. By definition, the natural density of the set S is

$$\delta(S) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{|\{p \in S : p \leq x\}|}{\pi(x)} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{N(x)}{\pi(x)},$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of prime numbers p less than or equal to x.

Define $M = 2n+3$, where $n = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$; note that this only depends on the multiquadratic field K. Let $\xi_1(x) = \dfrac{1}{2M}\log x$, $\xi_2(x) = \dfrac{\sqrt{x}}{\log^2 x}$, and $\xi_3(x) = \sqrt{x}\log x$. In addition, define $M_1(x) := M(x, \xi_1(x), \xi_2(x))$, $M_2(x) := M(x, \xi_2(x), \xi_3(x))$, and $M_3(x) := M(x, \xi_3(x), x-1)$. As in the argument from Hooley, we deduce

$$N(x) = N(x, \xi_1(x)) + O(M(x, \xi_1(x), x-1)).$$

Also, we trivially have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, \xi_1(x), x-1) &\leq M(x, \xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)) + M(x, \xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)) + M(x, \xi_3(x), x-1) \\ &= M_1(x) + M_2(x) + M_3(x). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.2. $M_3(x) = O\left(\dfrac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$.

Proof. If p is counted in $M_3(x)$, then for some l, $x-1 \geq l \geq \xi_3(x)$, $l|p-1$ and for a prime $\mathfrak{P}|p$ of $K(\zeta_l)$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ does not generate G_l as a G-module. Since we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of x, we may assume that $\xi_3(x)$ is not small, and so $l \neq 2$. By Lemma 5.8, this implies that for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $(\mathfrak{Q}_i, Q_i(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/Q_i(\zeta_l)) = (id)$, so $\mathfrak{Q}_i = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathcal{O}_{Q_i(\zeta_l)}$ is a prime of $Q_i(\zeta_l)$ that splits completely in $Q_i(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})$, where $u_i = \prod\limits_{h \in H_i} h(\epsilon) \in Q_i$. Hence $u_i^{(p-1)/l} - 1 \in \mathfrak{Q}_i$; since also $u_i^{(p-1)/l} - 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}$, $u_i^{(p-1)/l} - 1 \in \mathfrak{Q}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_{Q_i} =: \mathfrak{q}_i$ for $\mathfrak{q}_i$ a prime of Q_i. Thus $\mathfrak{q}_i | u_i^{(p-1)/l} - 1$, and so $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}(\mathfrak{q}_i) = p \leq N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}\left(u_i^{(p-1)/l}\right)$. Since $l \geq \xi_3(x)$, every p counted in $M_3(x)$ divides $\prod\limits_{r < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}(u_i^r - 1)$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Each p is at least 2, so $2^{M_3(x)} \leq \prod\limits_{r < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}(u_i^r - 1)|$. Let $A_1 > \max_{i,g_j} |g_j(u_i)|$; notice that since there are only finitely many distinct ϵ for varying l, there are only finitely many distinct u_i and so A_1 is finite. For each i, $|N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}(u_i^r - 1)| < (A_1^r + 1)^2$. Thus $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}(u_i^r - 1)| < (A_1^r + 1)^{2(n-1)} < A_2^{2r}$, where $A_2 > (A_1 + 1)^{n-1}$, and $A_1, A_2 = O(1)$. Hence

$$2^{M_3(x)} \leq \prod_{r < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i}(u_i^r - 1)| < \prod_{r < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}} A_2^{2r}.$$

Taking logs, we have

$$M_3(x) \leq \sum_{r < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}} \frac{\log(A_2^{2r})}{\log 2} \leq \frac{2\log(A_2)}{\log 2} \frac{x}{\log^2(x)} = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right).$$

□

Lemma 6.3. $M_2(x) = O\left(\frac{x \log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right)$.

Proof. If p is counted in $M_2(x)$, then there is a prime $l_0 \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]$ such that $R(p, l_0) = 1$, and so p will be counted in $P(x, l_0)$. Thus $M_2(x) \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} P(x, l)$. Now, for any l, $P(x, l) \leq |\{p \leq x : p \equiv 1 \mod l\}|$, and by the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem we deduce $M_2(x) \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{bx}{(l-1)\log(\frac{x}{l})}$. The bound $\frac{x}{(l-1)\log(\frac{x}{l})} \leq \frac{8x}{l \log x}$ for all $l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]$ implies that

$$M_2(x) \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{bx}{(l-1)\log(\frac{x}{l})} \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{8bx}{l \log x};$$

hence $M_2(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{1}{l}\right)$. Since $1 \leq 4\frac{\log l}{\log x}$ for every $l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]$, $\frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{1}{l} \leq \frac{4x}{\log^2 x} \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{\log l}{l}$. Thus $M_2(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x} \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{\log l}{l}\right)$.

We can now use a theorem of Mertens to bound this sum. On applying Mertens theorem twice we deduce

$$\sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{\log l}{l} \leq \log(\xi_3(x)) - \log(\xi_2(x)) + O(1) = \log\left(\frac{\xi_3(x)}{\xi_2(x)}\right) + O(1).$$

Using the definitions of $\xi_2(x)$ and $\xi_3(x)$ we see

$$\sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{\log l}{l} \leq 3\log\log x + O(1) = O(\log\log x).$$

We conclude $M_2(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x} \sum_{l \in (\xi_2(x), \xi_3(x)]} \frac{\log l}{l}\right) = O\left(\frac{x \log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right)$. □

Because $\dfrac{x}{\log^2 x} = O\left(\dfrac{x\log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right)$, we have shown

$$\begin{aligned} N(x) &= N(x,\xi_1(x)) + O(M(x,\xi_1(x),x-1)) \\ &= N(x,\xi_1(x)) + O(M_1(x)) + O\left(\frac{x\log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right). \qquad (*) \end{aligned}$$

6.2 Completion of proof using the generalized Riemann hypothesis

From $(*)$ we see that to estimate $N(x)$ it remains to estimate both $N(x,\xi_1(x))$ and $M_1(x)$. As with $M_2(x)$, we have $M_1(x) \le \sum_{l\in(\xi_1(x),\xi_2(x)]} P(x,l)$. Also, by the inclusion/exclusion principle we have $N(x,\xi_1(x)) = \sum_{k\in U(x)} \mu(k)P(x,k)$, where $\mu(x)$ denotes the Möbius function and $U(x) = \{k : \text{if } l|k \text{ then } l \le \xi_1(x)\}$. This leads us to try to estimate the sum $P(x,k)$ for k a squarefree integer.

For k a squarefree integer, define
$$K_k = \prod_{l|k} K_l = \begin{cases} K(\zeta_k, \sqrt[k]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}) & \text{if } 2\nmid k \\ K(\sqrt{-1},\zeta_b, \sqrt[b]{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}) & \text{if } k = 2b \end{cases}$$
to be the compositum of the fields K_l for $l|k$. Then K_k is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and for all k, $\phi(k)\dfrac{k}{2}^{n-1} \le [K_k:\mathbb{Q}] \le 2n\phi(k)k^{n-1}$, where $n = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$ and $\phi(k)$ denotes the Euler phi function. Define $d_k := |disc(K_k/\mathbb{Q})|$. The following technical lemma will be useful for our estimate of $P(x,k)$. The proof can be found in Roskam [14].

Lemma 6.4. *[14, Prop.13] There is a constant κ_1, depending on ϵ, such that for all $k > 1$ and all subfields $F \subseteq K_k$ we have $\log d_F^{1/[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \le \kappa_1 \log k$, where $d_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the absolute value of the discriminant of $F/\mathbb{Q}$.*

To estimate the sum $P(x,k)$ we will use the following conditional result originally due to Lagarias-Odlyzko [9] and found in [14].

Theorem 6.5. *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G, let C be a union of conjugacy classes of G, and denote the absolute value of the discriminant of F by d_F. Define*

$$\pi_C(x) = |\{p \le x \text{ unramified in } F/\mathbb{Q} : (p, F/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq C\}|.$$

Then the generalized Riemann hypothesis (abbreviated GRH) implies that there is an absolute constant κ_2 such that for all $x \ge 2$ the following inequality holds:

$$|\pi_C(x) - \frac{|C|}{|G|}Li(x)| \le \kappa_2|C|\sqrt{x}(\log d_F^{1/[F:\mathbb{Q}]} + \log x),$$

with $Li(x) = \int_2^\infty \frac{dt}{\log t}$ the logarithmic integral.

We will apply this theorem to two different sets of fields to finish the proof. First we use this theorem to estimate the sum $M_1(x)$.

Lemma 6.6. $M_1(x) = O\left(\dfrac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$.

Proof. We have shown that $M_1(x) \leq \sum\limits_{l \in (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)]} P(x,l)$. Again since $l > \xi_1(x)$ we may assume that $l \neq 2$. A prime p contributes to the sum $P(x,l)$ if and only if p is a prime split in K with $p \leq x$ and $R(p,l) = 1$; that is, $l | p-1$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ does not generate G_l as a $G-$module for any $\mathfrak{P}|p$. By Lemma 5.8 there is an i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $(\mathfrak{Q}_i, Q_i(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/Q_i(\zeta_l)) = (id)$, where $\mathfrak{Q}_i$ is a prime of $Q_i(\zeta_l)$ lying above p. Notice $R(p,l) = 1$ if and only if p splits completely in the extension $F_{l_i} = K(\zeta_l, \sqrt[l]{u_i})/\mathbb{Q}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence a prime p contributes to the sum $P(x,l)$ if and only if $p \leq x$ is split completely in $F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}$ for some i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We deduce

$$M_1(x) \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)]} P(x,l) \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\{p \leq x : p \text{ splits in } F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}\}|.$$

Because the field extensions $F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}$ are Galois, we can apply Theorem 6.5 to these fields $F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}$ with $C = C_{l_i}$ the completely split conjugacy class in order to estimate $|\{p \leq x : p \text{ splits in } F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}\}|$. For any l and any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $C = C_{l_i} = (id)$; then $\pi_C(x) = |\{p \leq x : (p, F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}) = (id)\}| = |\{p \leq x : p \text{ splits in } F_{l_i}/\mathbb{Q}\}|$. By Theorem 6.5, the GRH implies that there is an absolute constant κ_2 such that for all $x \geq 2$,

$$\left| \pi_C(x) - \frac{1}{[F_{l_i} : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x) \right| \leq \kappa_2 \sqrt{x} \left(\log d_F^{1/[F:\mathbb{Q}]} + \log x \right).$$

In addition, since $F_{l_i} \subseteq K_l$ for every i and l, by Lemma 6.4, $d_F^{1/[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \leq \kappa_1 \log l$, and so $|\pi_C(x) - \frac{1}{[F_{l_i} : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x)| = O\left(\sqrt{x} \log(lx)\right)$ for every l and i. Thus

$$M_1(x) \leq \sum_{l \in (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{[F_{l_i} : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x) + O\left(\sqrt{x} \log(lx)\right) \right).$$

Now, $[F_{l_i} : \mathbb{Q}] = nl(l-1)$ for all but finitely many l, and we may assume $\xi_1(x) > l$ for the finite number of these l. Since $nl(l-1) \geq \frac{1}{2} nl^2$ for all l, we deduce $\frac{1}{[F_{l_i} : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq \frac{2}{nl^2}$ for all $l > \xi_1(x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x) &\leq Li(x)(n-1) \sum_{l > \xi_1(x)} \frac{2}{nl^2} + (n-1) \sum_{l \in (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)]} O\left(\sqrt{x} \log(lx)\right) \\ &= Li(x)(n-1) \sum_{l > \xi_1(x)} \frac{2}{nl^2} + O\left(\sqrt{x} \log x \sum_{l \leq \xi_2(x)} 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The former sum is bounded above by $\int_{\xi_1(x)}^{\infty} \frac{2}{nx^2} dx = \frac{2}{n\xi_1(x)}$, and the latter sum is $O\left(\frac{\xi_2(x)}{\log \xi_2(x)} \right)$ by the Prime Number Theorem. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x) &= O\left(\frac{x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi_1(x)} \right) + O\left(\sqrt{x} \log x \frac{\xi_2(x)}{\log(\xi_2(x))} \right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2(x)} \right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x} \cdot \frac{\log x}{\log \xi_2(x)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

using the definitions of $\xi_1(x)$ and $\xi_2(x)$. Lastly, $\log x \leq 4 \log(\xi_2(x))$, and so

$$O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x} \cdot \frac{\log x}{\log \xi_2(x)} \right) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x} \cdot 1 \right).$$

Hence $M_1(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2(x)}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2(x)}\right) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2(x)}\right)$. $\square$

Next we will apply Theorem 6.5 to the fields $F = K_k$ to estimate the sum $P(x,k)$. To this end, let C_k denote the union of conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Gal}(K_k/\mathbb{Q})$,

$$C_k = \bigcup \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_k/\mathbb{Q}) : \sigma|_K = (id), \sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)} = (id) \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma|_{K_l} \text{ does not generate } G_l \text{ as a } G-\text{module for all } l|k\}.$$

Then by definition $\pi_{C_k}(x) = P(x,k)$. By Theorem 6.5 the GRH implies that

$$|P(x,k) - \frac{|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x)| \leq \kappa_2 |C_k| \sqrt{x} (\log d_F^{1/[F:\mathbb{Q}]} + \log x).$$

This, combined with Lemma 6.4, shows

$$\begin{aligned} |P(x,k) - \frac{|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x)| &\leq \kappa_2 |C_k| \sqrt{x} (\kappa_1 \log k + \log x) \\ &= O\left(|C_k| \sqrt{x} \log(kx)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $P(x,k) = \frac{|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x) + O\left(|C_k| \sqrt{x} \log(kx)\right)$. $\qquad (**)$

We use this estimate to estimate the sum $N(x, \xi_1(x))$.

Lemma 6.7. $N(x, \xi_1(x)) = \sum_{k \in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$.

Proof. We have that $N(x, \xi_1(x)) = \sum_{k \in U(x)} \mu(k) P(x,k)$, where $U(x) = \{k : \text{if } l|k \text{ then } l \leq \xi_1(x)\}$. Using equation $(**)$ for $P(x,k)$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, \xi_1(x)) &= \sum_{k \in U(x)} \mu(k) \left[\frac{|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x) + O\left(|C_k| \sqrt{x} \log(x)\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{k \in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x) + \sum_{k \in U(x)} O\left(|C_k| \sqrt{x} \log(x)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by choice of M, $\frac{1+n}{M} = \frac{1+n}{2n+3} < \frac{1}{2}$. Also notice that if $k \in U(x)$, then $k \leq x^{1/M}$. For every k we have the obvious inequality $|C_k| \leq [K_k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2n\phi(k)k^{n-1} \leq 2nk^n$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, \xi_1(x)) - \sum_{k \in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k : \mathbb{Q}]} Li(x) &= \sum_{k \in U(x)} O\left(|C_k| \sqrt{x} \log(x)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \leq x^{1/M}} O\left(k^n \sqrt{x} \log x\right) \\ &= O\left(x^{1/M} x^{n/M} \sqrt{x} \log x^{1+1/M}\right) \\ &= O\left(x^{\frac{4n+5}{4n+6}} \log x\right) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\log^3 x = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{4n+6}}\right)$ implies $x^{\frac{4n+5}{4n+6}}\log x = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$. Thus we have shown $N(x,\xi_1(x)) = \sum_{k\in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}Li(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$. □

It remains to show that the sum $\sum_{k\in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}$ approaches a limit as $x\to\infty$.

Lemma 6.8. $\lim_{x\to\infty} \sum_{k\in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} < \infty$.

Proof. Since $k\le \xi_1(x)$ implies $k\in U(x)$, we know that if $k\notin U(x)$, then it must be that $k>\xi_1(x)$. Thus $\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} - \sum_{k\in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right| \le \left|\sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right| = \sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)||C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}$, and so we can prove both that the sums are equal and finite in the same step. Let $1>\delta>0$. For all k squarefree, $\frac{1}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} \le \frac{2^{n-1}}{\phi(k)k^{n-1}}$, and so $\sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)||C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} \le \sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)||C_k|2^{n-1}}{\phi(k)k^{n-1}}$. By Lemma 6.10, $|C_k| = \prod_{l|k}|C_l|$. In the proof of Proposition 4.2, we proved $|C_l|\le 2^{(n-1)}l^{n-2}$ for every l prime, and so $|C_k| = \prod_{l|k}|C_l| \le \prod_{l|k} 2^{(n-1)}l^{n-2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)||C_k|2^{n-1}}{\phi(k)k^{n-1}} &\le \sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)|\prod_{l|k}2^{n-1}l^{n-2}2^{n-1}}{\phi(k)k^{n-1}}\\ &= \sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)|\prod_{l|k}2^{2n-2}}{\phi(k)k}\end{aligned}$$

We next show that there is a $\kappa(\delta)$ such that for all k squarefree, $\frac{\prod_{l|k}2^{2n-2}}{\phi(k)k} \le \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{k^{2-\delta}}$, or equivalently $\frac{\prod_{l|k}2^{2n-2}}{\phi(k)} \le \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{k^{1-\delta}}$.

First note that for all $l > (2^{2n-1})^{1/\delta} =: R$, $l^\delta > 2^n$, and so $l-1 \ge \frac{1}{2}l > 2^{2n-2}l^{1-\delta}$. Hence $\frac{1}{l^{1-\delta}} > \frac{2^{2n-2}}{l-1}$ for $l>R$. Define $\kappa(\delta) = 2^{(2n-2)R}\prod_{l\le R} l^{1-\delta}$; then for all k squarefree,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\prod_{l|k}2^{2n-2}}{\phi(k)} &= \prod_{l|k}\frac{2^{2n-2}}{l-1} \le \prod_{l|k,l\le R}\frac{2^{2n-2}}{l-1}\cdot\prod_{l|k,l>R}\frac{1}{l^{1-\delta}}\\ &\le \prod_{l|k}\frac{1}{l^{1-\delta}}\cdot(2^{(2n-2)})^R\prod_{l\le R}l^{1-\delta} = \prod_{l|k}\frac{1}{l^{1-\delta}}\cdot\kappa(\delta) = \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{k^{1-\delta}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(k)=0$ for all k which are not squarefree, $\sum_{k>\xi_1(x)} \frac{|\mu(k)||C_k|2^{n-1}}{\phi(k)k^{n-1}} \le \kappa(\delta)\sum_{k>\xi_1(x)}\frac{1}{k^{2-\delta}}$. For $1>\delta>0$, the sum $\sum \frac{1}{k^{2-\delta}}$ converges, so we conclude

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \sum_{k\in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} < \infty. \qquad \square$$

Combining Lemmas 6.6 and 6.7 with the equation $(*)$, we arrive at

$$N(x) = \sum_{k\in U(x)} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]} \cdot Li(x) + O\left(\frac{x\log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right).$$

Dividing through by $x/\log x$, taking a limit as $x\to\infty$ and applying Lemma 6.8, we conclude $\lim\limits_{x\to\infty} \dfrac{N(x)}{x/\log x} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \dfrac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}$. We have thus proven the natural density of the set S is $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \dfrac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Let $c = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \dfrac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}$. It remains to show that $c \neq 0$ to conclude that the number of primes counted by $N(x)$ is infinite. First notice that $K_2 \cap K_l = K$ for all $l \neq 2$, and so

$$c = \frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\left(1 - \frac{|C_2|}{[K_2:K]}\right) \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:K]} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Using Theorem 3.14 we conclude $2c = \sum\limits_{k \text{ odd}} \dfrac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k:\mathbb{Q}]}$ is the density of primes p completely split in K for which the index $[L_p : H(\zeta_p^+)]$ is a power of 2. Let E denote the genus field of K, and define d to be the density of primes p completely split in E for which $[L_p : H(\zeta_p^+)]$ is a power of 2. Since every prime that splits in E is already split in K, we deduce $d \leq 2c$. We show $d > 0$ to conclude that $c > 0$.

Define E_k as the compositum $E_k = E \cdot K_k$ for k odd and squarefree. Let Δ denote the discriminant of $K/\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let r and s denote squarefree odd integers with* $\gcd(r,s) = 1$.

- *(a) $E_r \cap E_s = E$.*
- *(b) The largest Abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ contained in K_r is $K(\zeta_r)$.*
- *(c) If $\gcd(r,\Delta) = 1$, then $K_r \cap K_s = K$.*

Proof. (a): Notice that the field extensions K_k/K and E_k/E are ramified only at primes lying above primes $l|k$. Therefore since $\gcd(r,s) = 1$, the extension $E_r \cap E_s/E$ is unramified. Since the extension E/K is unramified, also $E_r \cap E_s/K$ is unramified. We show $E_r \cap E_s/\mathbb{Q}$ is Abelian; this implies $E_r \cap E_s$ is contained in the genus field of K, which is E, and so $E_r \cap E_s = E$. Notice $E_r \cap E_s$ is a subfield of both $E_r(\zeta_{rs})$ and $E_s(\zeta_{rs})$, and so $E_r \cap E_s \subseteq E_r(\zeta_{rs}) \cap E_s(\zeta_{rs})$. The extension $E_r(\zeta_{rs})$ of $E(\zeta_{rs})$ is obtained by adjoining r^{th} roots, and so its degree is power of r; likewise $[E_s(\zeta_{rs}) : E(\zeta_{rs})]$ is a power of s. Thus, since $\gcd(r,s) = 1$, $E_r(\zeta_{rs}) \cap E_s(\zeta_{rs}) = E(\zeta_{rs})$. Thus $E_r \cap E_s \subseteq E(\zeta_{rs})$, and so $E_r \cap E_s$ is Abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(b): The sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Gal}(K_r/K(\zeta_r)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K_r/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}) \to 1$$

given by restriction is exact, and so $\mathrm{Gal}(K_r/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(K_r/K(\zeta_r))$. Since r is odd, no extension of $K(\zeta_r)$ in K_r is Abelian. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q})$ is Abelian, we deduce

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_r/\mathbb{Q})^{ab} \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}) \rtimes (id) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}),$$

and so $K(\zeta_r)$ is the largest Abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ in K_r.

(c): Now suppose $\gcd(r, \Delta) = 1$. Then for a prime $l|r$, K is unramified at l and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is totally ramified at l, and so $K(\zeta_r) = K\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is totally ramified over K. Thus the extension $K(\zeta_r) \cap E$ is both totally ramified and unramified over K, and so $K(\zeta_r) \cap E = K$. Now, $K_r \cap K_s \subseteq E_r \cap E_s$, so $K_r \cap K_s$ is both Abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$ and contained in E by (a). By (b), this implies that $K_r \cap K_s \subseteq K(\zeta_r)$. Hence $K_r \cap K_s \subseteq E \cap K(\zeta_r) = K$, so $K_r \cap K_s = K$. ☐

Lemma 6.10. $|C_{rs}| = |C_r||C_s|$ *if* $\gcd(r, s) = 1$.

Proof. It is enough to prove this for r, s prime numbers not equal to 2. We show the map $C_{rs} \longrightarrow C_r \times C_s$, $\sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_{K_r}, \sigma|_{K_s})$ is a bijection of sets. It is clearly an injection since $K_{rs} = K_r K_s$. To see the map is a surjection, suppose $(\rho, \sigma) \in C_r \times C_s$. $K_r \cap K_s \subseteq E_r \cap E_s$, so by Lemma 6.9, $K_r \cap K_s$ is Abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$ and contained in $K(\zeta_r) \cap K(\zeta_s)$. By assumption $\rho = (id)$ on $K(\zeta_r)$ and $\sigma = (id)$ on $K(\zeta_s)$, and so ρ and σ agree and are the identity on $K_r \cap K_s$. Hence there is a map $\tau : K_k \to K_k$ in C_{rs} mapping to (ρ, σ). Thus the map is a bijection and $|C_{rs}| = |C_r||C_s|$. ☐

Lemma 6.11. *The genus field E of K is a multiquadratic field.*

Proof. Since the genus field E is Abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$, we prove E is multiquadratic field by showing that every cyclic quotient of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ of prime power order is of order 2. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime l and some $n \geq 1$. By Galois theory, there is a subfield R of E with $\mathrm{Gal}(R/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$. Notice at least one prime must be totally ramified in $R/\mathbb{Q}$: to see this, suppose not. Then the inertia group of every prime is proper in $\mathrm{Gal}(R/\mathbb{Q})$, and so is a subgroup of H, the unique subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(R/\mathbb{Q})$ of order l^{n-1}. The fixed field R^H of H is then a field extension of degree l over $\mathbb{Q}$ that is unramified at every prime, a contradiction since there are no proper extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified at every prime.

Let p be a prime that is totally ramified in $R/\mathbb{Q}$. Then the ramification index $e_p(R/\mathbb{Q})$ equals $[R : \mathbb{Q}]$. Since ramification indices are multiplicative, $e_p(K/\mathbb{Q}) \leq 2$ and the extension E/K is unramified at every prime, we deduce $e_p(R/\mathbb{Q}) \leq e_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \leq 2$. Hence it must be that $e_p(R/\mathbb{Q}) = 2 = [R : \mathbb{Q}]$. ☐

For any k odd, let C'_k denote the union of conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Gal}(E_k/\mathbb{Q})$,

$$C'_k = \bigcup \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(E_k/\mathbb{Q}) : \sigma|_E = (id), \sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)} = (id) \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma|_{K_l} \text{ does not generate } G_l \text{ as a } G-\text{module for all } l|k\}.$$

Using Lemma 6.11, we deduce from a symmetric argument to the one provided that $d = \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(k)|C'_k|}{[E_k : \mathbb{Q}]}$.

Lemma 6.12. *For all k odd, $|C_k| = |C'_k|$.*

Proof. We have the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Gal}(K_k/K(\zeta_k)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Gal}(K_k/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_k)/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(E_k/E(\zeta_k)) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(E_k/E) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(E(\zeta_k)/E) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since E/K is unramified, $\mathrm{Gal}(E(\zeta_k)/E) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_k)/K)$, and for any $l|k$, an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_k/K)$ that is the identity on E generates G_l as a $G-$module if and only if its image in $\mathrm{Gal}(E_k/E)$ also generates G_l as a $G-$module. The result follows. ☐

By Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10 we deduce d is completely multiplicative. Combining this with Lemma 6.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d = \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[E_k : \mathbb{Q}]} &= \frac{1}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[E_k : E]} \\ &= \frac{1}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{l \neq 2 \text{ prime}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu(l)|C_l|}{[E_l : E]}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{l \neq 2 \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{|C_l|}{[E_l : E]}\right) \\ &= \frac{r_K}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{l \neq 2 \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(l-1)}(1 - D_l)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_K \neq 0$ is a correction factor for those primes ramifying in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. This product is nonzero by Proposition 4.2. Thus $d > 0$, so $c > 0$ and the number of primes counted by $N(x)$ is infinite. We deduce S has a positive density in the set of all primes. Combining this with Proposition 2.3, we have proven the following theorem.

Theorem 6.13. *Let K be a totally real multiquadratic field, let H be the Hilbert class field of K and ζ_p denotes a primitive p^{th} root of unity. Then the generalized Riemann hypothesis implies that there is a positive density of primes of K for which $L_p = H(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ if and only if K contains a unit of norm -1.*

Since also $[K(\zeta_l) : K] = l - 1$ for all $l \nmid \Delta$, we also conclude by Lemma 6.9 that the density of S equals

$$c = \frac{1}{2[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \left(\sum_{k | \Delta} \frac{\mu(k)|C_k|}{[K_k : K]} \right) \prod_{l}{}' \left(1 - \frac{1}{(l-1)}\left(1 - \frac{(l-1)^{n-1}}{l^{n-1}}\right)\right),$$

where the last product is restricted to primes $l \nmid 2\Delta$.

7 Determination of multiquadratic fields with units of norm -1

In this section we determine which totally real multiquadratic fields contain a unit of norm -1. We begin with a formula which will be used throughout this section. Kuroda's class number formula for multiquadratic fields, see [8] or [15], will be used to compute class numbers of multiquadratic fields.

Theorem 7.1. ***(Kuroda's class number formula)*** *Let K denote a totally real multiquadratic field with $|\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})| = n = 2^m$. Let Q_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ denote the $n-1$ distinct quadratic subfields of K. Let h_i denote the class number of Q_i, and let $\mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^{\times}$ be the unit group of Q_i. Let $v = m(2^{m-1} - 1)$, and let h denote the class number of K. Then*

$$h = \frac{1}{2^v} \left[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \prod_{i=1}^{t} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^{\times} \right] \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t} h_i.$$

The next proposition will be crucial in what follows.

Proposition 7.2. *If K is a number field containing a unit of norm -1, then every subfield F of K also contains a unit of norm -1.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ be a unit such that $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K u = -1$, and let F be a subfield of K. Then $x = N_F^K u$ is an element of F, and $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^F x = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^F N_F^K u = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K u = -1$. Hence F contains a unit x of norm -1. ☐

From this proposition, we see that if a number field K contains a subfield F with no unit of norm -1, then K will also have no unit of norm -1. This leads us to consider studying multiquadratic fields by examining their subfields, which are still multiquadratic fields.

7.1 Quadratic and biquadratic fields

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for a squarefree integer $d > 0$. Then $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and the non-identity element of the Galois group, say σ, is given by $\sigma(\sqrt{d}) = -\sqrt{d}$. The norm of an element $\beta \in K$ is then simply $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K \beta = \beta\sigma(\beta)$. $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{\pm\epsilon^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, where ϵ is the fundamental unit of K that is greater than 1. K will have a unit of norm -1 if and only if the fundamental unit ϵ of K is of norm -1, since ϵ generates all of the units of K up to sign.

If $d \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$, and a unit u of $\mathcal{O}_K$ is of the form $u = a + b\sqrt{d}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus K contains a unit of norm -1 if and only if the negative Pell equation $x^2 - y^2 d = -1$ has a solution. Since the equation $x^2 - y^2 d \equiv -1 \mod 4$ has no solutions when $d \equiv 3 \mod 4$, K has no unit of norm -1 when $d \equiv 3 \mod 4$. When $d \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$, K may or may not have a unit of norm -1. Since $x^2 - y^2 d \equiv -1 \mod p$ has no solution if $p|d$ is prime and $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, we further deduce that if K contains a unit of norm -1, then all prime divisors of d are either 2 or equivalent to $1 \mod 4$. There are infinite classes of real quadratic fields $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ having units of norm -1 when $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$ given in the next proposition. The proof to (a) is outlined in the exercises of Chapter 17 of Ireland and Rosen [5], and the proof of (b) is similar.

Proposition 7.3. *(a) When $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ is prime, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ contains a unit of norm -1.*

(b) If $p, q \equiv 1 \mod 4$ are primes such that the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{pq})$ contains a unit of norm -1.

A totally real biquadratic field K is a degree 4 extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. There are positive integers m and n such that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$. K has three quadratic subfields, $Q_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, $Q_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$, and $Q_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mn})$, which have fundamental units ϵ_1, ϵ_2 and ϵ_3, respectively. $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ has rank 3 as a $\mathbb{Z}-$module. $\prod_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, but in general, it is not the case that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \prod_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times$, or equivalently that a system of fundamental units of K is given by $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$. However, the structure of the unit group of a totally real biquadratic field has been extensively studied, and in [6] (see also [11], [18]), Kubota completely classified the possible structures into one of seven types. We use this work to determine which biquadratic fields have a unit of norm -1.

Kubota's work proves that if each quadratic subfield of K has a unit $x_i \in Q_i$ of norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Q_i} x_i = -1$, then a system of fundamental units of K must be of one of the two forms $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$ or $\left\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \sqrt{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}\right\}$. The latter occurs if and only if the element $z = \sqrt{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}$ lies in K, and z will be a unit of K of norm -1, as desired.

Proposition 7.4. *Suppose $S = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$ is a system of fundamental units for K, where each ϵ_i is the fundamental unit of the quadratic subfield Q_i. Then every unit of K has norm $+1$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Then since S is a system of fundamental units for K, we know $u = \pm\epsilon_1^{a_1}\epsilon_2^{a_2}\epsilon_3^{a_3}$ for some integers a_i. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N^K_{\mathbb{Q}}(u) &= \prod_{i=1}^{3}(N^K_{\mathbb{Q}}\epsilon_i)^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{3}(N^{Q_i}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N^K_{Q_i}\epsilon_i))^{a_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{3}(N^{Q_i}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\epsilon_i)^2)^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{3}((\pm 1)^2)^{a_i} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

because $\epsilon_i \in Q_i$. □

Corollary 7.5. *If K is a totally real biquadratic field containing a unit of norm -1, then a system of fundamental units of K is $\left\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \sqrt{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}\right\}$, where each ϵ_i is the fundamental unit of the quadratic subfield Q_i.*

As in the quadratic case, there is an infinite class of totally real biquadratic number fields that have units of norm -1. The next proposition is referenced in [6] and the proof is omitted.

Proposition 7.6. *If $p, q \equiv 1 \mod 4$ are distinct primes with $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = -1$, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$ contains a unit of norm -1.*

Example 7.7. Consider the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13})$. Since 5 and 13 are both primes of the form $4k + 1$ and $\left(\frac{5}{13}\right) = -1$, by the previous proposition K contains an element of norm -1. Also, both $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ have class number 1, and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{65})$ has class number 2, so by 7.1 we can compute that K has class number 1; hence $H = K$.

7.2 Triquadratic fields

In this section, let L denote a totally real triquadratic field. Then there exist integers m, n, and d so that $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n}, \sqrt{d})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$. From previous work, we may expect fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \sqrt{p_3})$ with each $p_i \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\left(\frac{p_i}{p_j}\right) = -1$ for $i \neq j$ to contain units of norm -1. However, inspecting some examples we find that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{37})$ contains a unit of norm -1 but has class number is 2, while $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{97})$ has class number 1 but contains no unit of norm -1. This is not an accident.

Theorem 7.8. *If L is a totally real triquadratic field with odd class number, then every unit of L has norm $+1$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that L is a totally real triquadratic field with odd class number which contains a unit of norm -1. By Proposition 7.2 each of the seven biquadratic subfields B_j of L also contains a unit u with $N^B_{\mathbb{Q}}u = -1$. Hence by Corollary 7.5, B_j must have a system of fundamental units given by $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \sqrt{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}\}$, where ϵ_1, ϵ_2, and ϵ_3 are the fundamental units in each of the three quadratic subfields of B_j. Then the seven elements $\alpha_j = \sqrt{\epsilon_r\epsilon_s\epsilon_t}$ lie in $\mathcal{O}^\times_{B_j} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times_L$ for the appropriate choice of numbers r, s, and t. We compute that the $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{O}^\times_L/(\mathcal{O}^\times_L)^2$ generate a rank 4 module over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and so $\left[\mathcal{O}^\times_L/(\mathcal{O}^\times_L)^2 : \prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}/(\prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i})^2\right] \geq 2^4$.

Since L is totally real of degree 2^3, $3(2^2 - 1) = 9$ and so 7.1 yields $|C_L| = \frac{1}{2^9} \cdot \left[\mathcal{O}^\times_L : \prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}\right] \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{7} h_i$. By definition $\prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}-$module by ± 1 and the ϵ_r. Since $\alpha_i^2 \in \prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}$, $\left[\mathcal{O}^\times_L : \prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}\right] \geq \left[\mathcal{O}^\times_L/(\mathcal{O}^\times_L)^2 : \prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}/(\prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i})^2\right]$. Combining with the previous inequality, we deduce $\left[\mathcal{O}^\times_L : \prod_{i=1}^{7}\mathcal{O}^\times_{Q_i}\right] \geq 2^4$.

We use this inequality in combination with the class number formula to obtain a contradiction to the assumption that L contains a unit of norm -1. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ is contained in the genus field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mn})$, and so $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ is contained in the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mn})$. Hence the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mn})$ is divisible by 2. Similarly $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{md})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{nd})$ each have class number divisible by 2, and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mnd})$ has class number divisible by 4. Thus $\prod_{i=1}^{7} h_i \geq 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 = 2^5$. 7.1 now reads

$$|C_L| = \frac{1}{2^9} \cdot \left[\mathcal{O}_L^\times : \prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times \right] \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{7} h_i \geq \frac{1}{2^9} \cdot 2^4 \cdot 2^5 = 1.$$

Since the class number of L is odd, 4 is the highest power of 2 dividing $\left[\mathcal{O}_L^\times : \prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times \right]$. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, $\beta^4 = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{7} N_{Q_i}^L(\beta)}{(N_{\mathbb{Q}}^L(\beta))^3} \in \prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times$, and so $\mathcal{O}_L^\times / (\mathcal{O}_L^\times)^4$, a group of order 2^{15}, surjects onto $\mathcal{O}_L^\times / \prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times$. Thus $\left[\mathcal{O}_L^\times : \prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times \right] = 2^4$, and so the α_i generate all of the elements of $\mathcal{O}_L^\times$ not in $\prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_L^\times = \prod_{i=1}^{7} \mathcal{O}_{B_i}^\times$. Symmetric to the proof of Proposition 7.4, this last assertion implies there is no unit of L of norm -1, contradicting our assumption. □

This theorem implies that for a triquadratic field L with odd class number, the structure of the ray class field of conductor $p\mathcal{O}_L$ remains unknown. We also deduce that for any triquadratic field L, either L has even class number or L has no unit of norm -1. Thus for any triquadratic field L, it will never be the case that $L_p = L(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many primes p completely split in L.

7.3 Higher degree multiquadratic fields

We can use results of the previous section to obtain results on the existence of units of norm -1 for more general totally real multiquadratic fields by induction. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{d_m})$ be a totally real multiquadratic number field which is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n = 2^m$.

Theorem 7.9. *If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{d_m})$ is a multiquadratic field of degree $n = 2^m$, $m \geq 3$ and the class number of K is odd, then K contains no unit of norm -1.*

Proof. Let K be as given. Assume to the contrary that K contained a unit of norm -1; then all the prime divisors of the various d_i are either 2 or congruent to $1 \mod 4$. We proceed in several steps.

Lemma 7.10. *If K has odd class number, then in fact each $d_i = p_i$ is a prime number with $p_i \equiv 1 \mod 4$ for each i, except possibly $d_1 = 2$.*

Proof. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ denote all of the prime factors of the various d_i, with $p_1 = 2$ or an odd prime congruent to $1 \mod 4$, and all other $p_k \equiv 1 \mod 4$. Since by assumption $d_i \nmid d_j$ if $i \neq j$, we know $s \geq m$, with equality holding if and only if each $d_i = p_i$ is a prime number. Now, if $s > m$, then the field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_s})$ is a proper field extension of K of degree 2^s contained in the genus field E of K. Hence $F \subseteq H$, the Hilbert class field of K, and so $2 | \, |C_K|$. This is impossible by assumption, so it must be that $s = m$ and each $d_i = p_i$ is a prime number, as claimed. □

Next we prove the following lemma relating class numbers of totally ramified extensions, which we will prove in a more general setting.

Lemma 7.11. *If $F \subseteq L$ is a totally ramified extension of totally real Galois number fields, then the class number of F divides the class number of L.*

Proof. Let H denote the Hilbert class field of F and C_F denote the class group of F, so $[H : F] = |C_F|$. A prime $\mathfrak{p}_0$ of F that is totally ramified in L is both totally ramified and unramified in $L \cap H$, so it must be that $F = L \cap H$. Let H_L denote the Hilbert class field of L and C_L denote the class group of L. We show HL is an extension of L unramified at all primes, so that $HL \subseteq H_L$. First, HL/L is unramified at the infinite primes since F, H, and L are all totally real. Since $H \cap L = F$, by Galois theory we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(HL/L) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(H/H \cap L) = \mathrm{Gal}(H/F)$ given by restriction of an element $\sigma : HL \to HL$ to $\sigma|_H : H \to H$. Then for any $\mathfrak{p}$ prime in F and $\mathfrak{P}$ prime in L with $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$, the Frobenius element at the prime $\mathfrak{P}$, $(\mathfrak{P}, HL/L)$ maps to the restriction $(\mathfrak{P}, HL/L)|_H = (\mathfrak{p}, H/F)$ by properties of Frobenius elements. Since H/F is unramified, every $(\mathfrak{p}, H/F)$ is well defined, and so each prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of L is unramified in HL/L. Thus HL/L is unramified at all finite and infinite primes, so that $HL \subseteq H_L$. Then $|C_L| = [H_L : L] = [H_L : HL][HL : L] = [H_L : HL][H : F] = [H_L : HL]|C_F|$, and so $|C_F|$ divides $|C_L|$. ☐

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 7.9 by induction on m, where $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^m$. We claim that for all $m \geq 3$, if the class number of K, a multiquadratic field of degree 2^m is odd, then K has no unit of norm -1. The base case, $m = 3$, is the triquadratic case, which was proven in the previous section. Now assume the induction hypothesis, so if L is any multiquadratic field of degree 2^t with $t < m$ and odd class number, then L has no unit of norm -1. Consider a multiquadratic field K with odd class number. From the first lemma we know K must be of the form $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_m})$, where each p_i is a prime number. Let $K_{m-1} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_{m-1}}) \subseteq K$, which is a multiquadratic field of degree 2^{m-1}. Then K/K_{m-1} is a degree 2 extension of fields that is totally ramified at primes of K_{m-1} lying above p_m. From the second lemma, we know the class number of K_{m-1} divides the class number of K. Since the class number of K is odd, this implies the class number of K_{m-1} must also be odd. Hence by the inductive hypothesis K_{m-1} contains no unit of norm -1, and so K contains no unit of norm -1 by Proposition 7.2. ☐

Corollary 7.12. *If K is a multiquadratic field of degree 2^m, $m \geq 3$ such that the class number of K is 1, then K contains no unit of norm -1.*

This shows that for general multiquadratic fields K of degree 2^m, $m \geq 3$ with class number 1, it is never the case that $L_p = K(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many p split in K. It has already been shown by A. Frölich [3] that if $m \geq 5$ then K has class number > 1, which gives an alternate argument for why it will never be true that $L_p = K(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many p split in K. We have proven this for $m = 3, 4$ as well.

7.4 Conjectures for multiquadratic fields of class number 1

We now determine which multiquadratic fields have infinitely many primes p for which $L_p = K(\zeta_p^+)$, assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis. Let K be a totally real multiquadratic field over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$ for some $m \geq 1$, and suppose the class number of K is 1 (so that in particular K equals its Hilbert class field and its genus field) and K contains a unit of norm -1. If $m \geq 3$, we have proven that K can have no unit of norm -1 since K has odd class number. Thus $m \leq 2$.

If $m = 1$, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for some $d > 0$, and $d \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$ and K has fundamental unit of norm -1. This implies that the genus field, K in this case, equals the extended genus field. The genus field is of degree 2^{t-1} over K, where t denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of d. Thus it must be that $t = 1$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ where $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ is a prime. By Theorem 6.13, the generalized Riemann hypothesis implies that for each of these fields K, there are infinitely many p split in K for which $L_p = K(\zeta_p^+)$. It is an open conjecture dating back to Gauss that there are infinitely many real quadratic fields of class number 1, and so this set is only conjecturally infinite.

If $m = 2$, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$ for positive integers d_1, d_2 with $d_i \nmid d_j$. K contains the three quadratic subfields $Q_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1})$, $Q_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$, and $Q_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1 d_2})$. Let h_i denote the class number of Q_i, and let ϵ_i denote the fundamental unit of Q_i. We have shown in Corollary 7.5 that a system of fundamental units of K is $\left\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \sqrt{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}\right\}$, and so $\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \prod_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times\right] = 2$. The class number formula 7.1 in the biquadratic case now reads

$$1 = |C_K| = \frac{1}{2^2}\left[\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \prod_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}^\times\right] h_1h_2h_3 = \frac{1}{2^2} \cdot 2 \cdot h_1h_2h_3.$$

K is contained in the genus field of K_3 so $h_3 \geq 2$; this implies $h_1 = h_2 = 1$ and $h_3 = 2$. By the previous case $m = 1$, we know this is only true if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$ where p, q are distinct prime numbers with $p, q \equiv 1 \mod 4$, or $p = 2$. Now, in [7], Kucera determines relations between the parity of the class number of biquadratic fields of this type and the class numbers and fundamental unit norms of its quadratic subfields. Kucera's results imply that in either case the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1$. We conjecture for each of these fields K, $L_p = K(\zeta_p^+)$ for infinitely many p completely split in K. Again, this set is only conjecturally infinite.

8 Acknowledgments

This article is a summary of my doctoral research at Northwestern University. I would like to thank Frank Calegari for his never-ending guidance, support, and patience throughout this process. I would also like to thank Matt Emerton for helpful conversations.

References

[1] Emil Artin, The collected papers of Emil Artin, Edited by Serge Lang and John T. Tate, Addison–Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-London, 1965. MR 0176888 (31 #1159)

[2] George Cooke and Peter J. Weinberger, On the construction of division chains in algebraic number rings, with applications to SL_2, Comm. Algebra **3** (1975), 481–524. MR 0387251 (52 #8094)

[3] Albrecht Fröhlich, Central extensions, Galois groups, and ideal class groups of number fields, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983. MR 720859 (85c:11101)

[4] Christopher Hooley, On Artin's conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. **225** (1967), 209–220. MR 0207630 (34 #7445)

[5] Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A classical introduction to modern number theory, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 84, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. MR 1070716 (92e:11001)

[6] Tomio Kubota, Über den bizyklischen biquadratischen Zahlkörper, Nagoya Math. J. **10** (1956), 65–85. MR 0083009 (18,643e)

[7] Radan Kučera, On the parity of the class number of a biquadratic field, J. Number Theory **52** (1995), no. 1, 43–52. MR 1331764 (96e:11139)

[8] Sigekatu Kuroda, Über die Klassenzahlen algebraischer Zahlkörper, Nagoya Math. J. **1** (1950), 1–10. MR 0039759 (12,593a)

[9] J. C. Lagarias and A. M. Odlyzko, Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem, Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975), Academic Press, London, 1977, pp. 409–464. MR 0447191 (56 #5506)

[10] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., On Artin's conjecture and Euclid's algorithm in global fields, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 201–224. MR 0480413 (58 #576)

[11] A. Mouhib, On the parity of the class number of multiquadratic number fields, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 6, 1205–1211. MR 2521470 (2010c:11133)

[12] M. Ram Murty, On Artin's conjecture, J. Number Theory **16** (1983), no. 2, 147–168. MR 698163 (86f:11087)

[13] Hans Roskam, A quadratic analogue of Artin's conjecture on primitive roots, J. Number Theory **81** (2000), no. 1, 93–109. MR 1743503 (2000k:11128)

[14] ______, Artin's primitive root conjecture for quadratic fields, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **14** (2002), no. 1, 287–324. MR 1926004 (2003j:11142)

[15] Hideo Wada, On the class number and the unit group of certain algebraic number fields, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **13** (1966), 201–209 (1966). MR 0214565 (35 #5414)

[16] Lawrence C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR 1421575 (97h:11130)

[17] Peter J. Weinberger, On Euclidean rings of algebraic integers, Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1973, pp. 321–332. MR 0337902 (49 #2671)

[18] Qingquan Wu, Computing fundamental units in bicyclic biquadratic global fields, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **23** (2008), no. 4, 357–380. MR 2492573 (2009k:11182)